



## Interview Summary

Application No. 08/776,190

Applicant(s)

. . . .

Josel et al.

Examiner

Joseph W. Ricigliano Ph. D.

Group Art Unit 1627

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Joseph W. Ricigliano Ph. D.	(3)
(2) Richard Berman	
Date of Interview Mar 26, 2000	·
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🗀 Personal (copy is given to 🗀 applicant 🗀 applicant's representative).  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: 🗀 Yes 🖾 No. If yes, brief description:	
Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.  Claim(s) discussed:  all pending  Identification of prior art discussed:	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  The examiner called Mr. Berman to discuss restiction/election of species. While the examiner had only required an election of species in the previous office action, the examiner noted that upon begining the search it became clear that there were still distinct inventions set forth in the claims. Therefore, although only a species election had been required on 11/23/99, a return to restriction between inventions along the lines originally set forth in the restriction of 5/5/97 was required to separate the inventions. Mr. Berrman asked that the restriction be sent in writting to keep the record clear.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
1. Li It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.	
Laylor Surjew 3/21/00  Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.	